

CHOOSE A SIDE



As food producers we rely on the use of a large portion of the planet to make our living feeding civilization. Farmers have much to lose economically from mindless bureaucratic restrictions as Governments grapple to fix a problem which quite simply does not exist. The demographic with most to lose are the poorest humans, limiting food production will affect them first and most drastically.

As farmers we need to decide which side to the debate we are on. We cannot be on both sides, if we want to play along with the scam and earn money from 'carbon credits' we also must accept reducing livestock numbers, methane taxes, forced fallows, compulsory acquisitions, industrial wind, and solar installations damaging rural landscapes and their immediate climates, vehicle emission standards eliminating appropriate work utilities and the current lunacy of pumping industrial waste into the Great Artesian Basin in the name of 'carbon capture and storage.'

The IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) have themselves conceded that the warming effect of each additional molecule of Co₂ released into the atmosphere decreases logarithmically. This explains why there was no runaway greenhouse warming when our planet had Co₂ concentrations of 20 times today's 0.04%. [\[i\]](#)

Historic Co₂ levels have accurately been measured in trapped air bubbles from the longest continuous accumulations of ice in Antarctica and Greenland with records going back 810,000 years and 150,000 years respectively. 65 million years of temperature data from oxygen isotope records of deep ocean sediment cores show the earth is cycling through ice ages and interglacial periods and the current increase in Co₂ and temperature has been a normal occurrence on planet earth. [\[ii\]](#)

An increase of 120ppm of Co₂ since the industrial revolution may seem significant when viewed through the microscope of current times. Geologically it is not significant and certainly not

extreme. Over the past 140 million years atmospheric Co2 levels have been falling from 2,500ppm to 300ppm[[iii](#)] and have risen to 420ppm since the beginning of the industrial revolution. The climate alarmists have said that 400ppm was a 'tipping point' for the earth which would have dire existential consequences. Tipping points are not science, they are propaganda.

What should be more alarming to humanity is the scientific fact that if the worlds Co2 concentrations halved from it is current level agriculture would collapse and humanity would starve. If Co2 levels reached 150ppm most terrestrial plant life would become extinct along with all the animal and human life which depends on plants.

'Global warming' morphed into 'climate change' because the planet refused to keep getting warmer as the Co2 concentrations increased, since 1945 70% of that time frame we have seen flat or declining temperatures.[\[iv\]](#) We now have media-driven hysteria of fueling a mass delusion that Co2 is bad for the planet. The more Co2 we have in the atmosphere the more plants will grow and the more Co2 will be drawn down, planet earth has been managing 'climate change' for the past 4.5 billion years.

Once a problem is monetised then politicised it is almost impossible to distinguish from what is climate debate and what is political ideology. The threat to humankind is not climate change but a group of despots who think your life and lifestyle is in the way of their goal to save the planet. Sadly, if their agenda is not stopped it will lead to unprecedented human suffering, deaths, and the destruction of the ecosystems they are purporting to care for. There just is nothing good that can come from the anti Co2 agenda, not environmentally, socially, or economically.

George King.

Carcoar

[\[i\]](#) Courtesy Wrightstone 2017, ch 6.1 Monckton 2017

[\[ii\]](#) Inconvenient Facts, Gregory Wrightstone, available on Amazon.

[\[iii\]](#) Source data: Berner 2001

[\[iv\]](#) HadCRUT4, Co2: Boden 2016